

Journal of Regional & Socio-Economic Issues (Print) ISSN 2049-1395

Journal of Regional & Socio-Economic Issues (Online) ISSN 2049-1409

Publication Ethics and Policies

Ethics

JRSEI strictly follows academic moral justice and ethics.

JRSEI follows timely rigorous double-peer review process to bring the recent and novel scientific information at its best possible mode, supporting fair and timely review process with the valuable inputs and from the responsible reviewers.

JRSEI places great importance on the authority of articles after they have been published and our policy is based on the best practice followed in the academic community.

Errors, inaccurate or misleading statements must be corrected promptly and with due prominence.

Decision-Making

Chief-Editor is entitled to carry out decision-making in consultation with reviewers or members of the editorial board. Chief-Editor evaluates manuscripts for their intellectual content without any bias towards race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Chief-Editor is responsible for the final decision about the submitted papers; to accept\reject any paper. Chief-Editor will choose any option from the following to review the submitted papers:

- send the paper to two reviewers, if the results were negative by one reviewer and positive by the other one; then the editor may send the paper for third reviewer, or he take immediately the final decision by accepting\rejecting the paper.
- Chief-Editor will ask the selected reviewers to present the results within 30 working days, if they were unable to complete the review within the agreed period then the editor have the right to resend the papers for new reviewers using the same procedure.
- If Chief-Editor was not able to find suitable reviewers for certain papers then he has the right to accept\reject the paper.

Confidentiality

Chief-Editor or any Editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate depending on the need and stage of processing.

Article Withdrawal

This is only used for “Article in Press” which represents the early versions of the accepted articles. If any article at the stage of “Article in Press”, by any means, represents infringements of professional ethical codes, such as multiple submissions, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data or similar incidences, the article may be withdrawn depending on the Chief-Editor discretion. In this regard, Chief-Editor’s decision must be considered as final following the deep assessment and analysis of the situations, on a case by case basis.

Article Retraction

Infringements of professional ethical codes, such as multiple submissions, sham claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data and similar claims will lead to the retraction of an article. Occasionally, a retraction may be considered to correct errors in submission or publication.

Article Removal and Replacement

Identification of false or inaccurate data representation which involves any means of scientific data tampering or other fraud hindering fair practice of science should be treated with strictness.

Responsibilities of Authors

Responsibilities

An author is expected to be responsible for the presented data and information in their respective article along with taking the responsibility of the significance. The authors are expected to present genuine original outcome of their research, and an appropriate and relevant citation should be considered while representing the data and documenting the discussion. Authors must provide information which is understandable and reproducible. Supporting information such as figures and tables provided by the authors should be legible and must be reproducible technically.

An author should not repeat any previous research data from themselves or anyone else while submitting an original manuscript for a primary publication in JRSEI. The reported scope of work should be based on proper citation from the other publications influencing. Before submitting any article, authors should check the scope of the journal and in case of any query they should contact the Chief-Editor.

Authors should strictly adhere to the authorship criteria. All listed authors must have made a significant contribution to the research presented in the manuscript and approved all its claims.

Interests

Any financial or personal interest that governs the findings or research in the manuscript along with the details of financial support and its sources should be revealed. Through the submission of an article the respective authors agree that the article neither is under consideration nor published in any other journal.

Responsibilities of Reviewers

Confidentiality

Reviewers should not share any information from an assigned manuscript with outsiders without the prior permission from the Editor or preserve the data from an assigned manuscript.

Competence

Reviewers with fair expertise in the respective field should complete the review.

Constructive Assessment

Reviewer comments should appreciate positive aspects of the work, identify negative aspects constructively, and indicate the enhancement needed. A reviewer should explain and support his or her judgment clearly enough that Editors and Authors can understand the basis of the comments. The reviewer should ensure that an observation or argument that has been previously reported be accompanied by a relevant citation and should immediately alert the Editor when he or she becomes aware of duplicate publication. A reviewer should not use any kind of abusive language while commenting on an article. Judgment of each article should be done without any bias and personal interest by the assigned reviewer.

Impartiality And Integrity

Reviewer's decision should solely depend on scientific merit, relevance to the subject, scope of the journal rather than financial, racial, ethnic origin etc., of the authors.

Disclosure of Conflict of Interest

To the extent feasible, the reviewer should minimize the conflict of interest. In such situation, reviewer should notify the editor describing the conflict of interest.

Timeliness and Responsiveness

Reviewers should morally abide to provide the review comments within the stipulated time and be active enough in responding to the queries raised by the editor if any.

Responsibilities of Chief-Editor and Editorial Board

Chief-Editor is solely and independently responsible for deciding which article(s) shall be published out of the submitted articles in a particular time. In making this decision, Chief-Editor is guided by the policies of the Editorial board and constrained, regarding copyright infringement and plagiarism. Chief-Editor has a responsibility to maintain the integrity of the published literature, if required, by publishing errata or corrections identifying anything of significance, retractions, and expressions of concern as quickly as possible.

Editorial Board must comply with the policy guidelines provided by the publisher and fulfill the responsibilities bestowed upon with integrity. Editorial Board is responsible for monitoring and ensuring the fairness, timeliness, thoroughness, and civility of the peer-review editorial process.